



**POSTER PRESENTATION**

**Open Access**

# Assessment of acute changes in biventricular volumes, systolic function and strain after MitraClip™-implantation using magnetic resonance imaging and feature tracking

Philipp Lurz\*, Rokas Serpytis, Stephan Balzek, Joerg Seeburger, Norman Mangner, Ingo Eitel, Steffen Desch, Suzanne de Waha, Friedrich W Mohr, Matthias Gutberlet, Gerhard Schuler, Holger Thiele

From 17th Annual SCMR Scientific Sessions  
 New Orleans, LA, USA. 16-19 January 2014

## Background

MitraClip™-implantation has been shown to significantly reduce mitral regurgitation (MR) in patients with high surgical risk. Whereas hemodynamic and echocardiographic studies suggest a reduction in left ventricular (LV) volumes and an increase in cardiac output following the intervention, there is very limited data on assessment of volumetric and functional changes after MitraClip™-implantation using cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging, the considered method of choice in such a scenario.

## Methods

Patients with moderate to severe MR, high surgical risk and absence of contraindications to CMR imaging underwent MitraClip™-implantation and CMR imaging on a 1.5 Tesla scanner (Intera, CV, Philips Medical Systems) before and within seven days after the procedure. In addition to volumetric and flow studies, myocardial feature tracking (FT) technology for quantification of myocardial wall mechanics was applied. From steady-state free precession images of short axis views LV maximal circumferential (ECCSAX) and radial (ERRSAX) and of the 4-chamber view LV longitudinal (ELL4CH) and radial (ERR4CH) strain was calculated using dedicated prototype software (TomTec, Germany).

## Results

Twenty patients (age:  $76 \pm 8$  years) with functional MR ( $n = 15$ ) or degenerative MR ( $n = 5$ ) with a median

Euroscore of 33 (range 17-62) underwent the MitraClip™-procedure and CMR imaging. Detailed results of volumetric assessment of the LV and right ventricle (RV) as well as calculated mitral and tricuspid regurgitation fraction are summarized within the table. There was a 44% relative reduction in MR fraction after MitraClip™-implantation. In this severely compromised patient population (mean pre-implant cardiac index of 1.7 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>), there were smaller LV enddiastolic volumes after the intervention, but reduced total stroke volume at unchanged effective LV stroke volume (=net aortic forward flow) and cardiac index. RV volumes, RV function and tricuspid regurgitation were unchanged following the intervention. Whereas global LV systolic function (ejection fraction) remained unchanged, there was significant impairment of the following measures of LV strain: ECCSAX ( $-12.8 \pm 4.8$  vs.  $-8.2 \pm 3.3$ ;  $p = 0.002$ ) and ERRSAX ( $15.4 \pm 7.7$  vs.  $9.6 \pm 5.3$ ;  $p = 0.02$ ) at mitral valve level and ERR4CH ( $15.5 \pm 7.3$  vs.  $10.9 \pm 7.3$ ;  $p = 0.02$ ). Other measures of LV strain were not altered significantly.

## Conclusions

In severely compromised patients, marked reduction in mitral regurgitation by MitraClip™-implantation might not result in improved cardiac output and effective biventricular forward flow. Further analyses need to clarify whether impaired LV strain in particular at the level of the mitral valve is related to altered loading conditions or is a consequence of worsened interaction between the mitral valve and the basal myocardial segments of the LV due to the MitraClip™ placement itself.

Internal Medicine/Cardiology, Heart Center of the University Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

	pre-MitraClip	post-MitraClip	p-value
Left ventricular enddiastolic volume, ml/m <sup>2</sup>	115±36	105±41	0.002
Left ventricular endsystolic volume, ml/m <sup>2</sup>	70±37	70±45	1.0
Left ventricular stroke volume, ml/m <sup>2</sup>	45±14	35±7	<0.001
Left ventricular ejection fraction, %	42±15	41±16	0.8
Effective left ventricular stroke volume, ml/m <sup>2</sup>	26±6	26±6	0.9
Mitral regurgitation fraction, %	36±10	19±12	<0.001
Aortic regurgitation fraction, %	9±8	8±9	0.6
Right ventricular enddiastolic volume, ml/m <sup>2</sup>	83±19	84±18	0.8
Right ventricular endsystolic volume, ml/m <sup>2</sup>	49±17	49±17	0.9
Right ventricular stroke volume, ml/m <sup>2</sup>	35±8	35±8	0.8
Right ventricular ejection fraction, %	42±9	43±11	0.8
Tricuspid regurgitation fraction, %	24±17	25±19	0.7
Heart rate, beat/min	69±17	73±14	0.24
Cardiac index, L/min/m <sup>2</sup>	1.7±0.5	1.8±0.4	0.4

**Figure 1** CMR-parameters before and after MitraClipTM-Implantation.

## Funding

None.

Published: 16 January 2014

doi:10.1186/1532-429X-16-S1-P317

**Cite this article as:** Lurz et al.: Assessment of acute changes in biventricular volumes, systolic function and strain after MitraClipTM-implantation using magnetic resonance imaging and feature tracking. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2014 **16**(Suppl 1):P317.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at  
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

